Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/917,072	ESSALIK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kaj K. Olsen	1753
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Kaj K. Olsen</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>David Bracken</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 August 2005	Time: <u>2:30</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		,
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Approval was given for the amendment to page 5 of the sp		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a erate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
•		
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representativ	/e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the only remaining rejection remaining in this case is the 112 enablement rejection of claims 1-3. However, because claims 1-3 were part of the originally filed disclosure, their text could be incorporated into the specification without introducing new matter. The examiner was given permission to do said incorporation in an examiner's amendment.